

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/484,458

Applicant(s)

Satoshi Shinada et al.

Examiner
Charles Stewart, Jr.

Group Art Unit
2853

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Charles Stewart, Jr.

(3) _____

(2) David Schaffer

(4) _____

Date of Interview Feb 12, 2002

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal (copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: Independent claims 1, 23, 31, 35, 55, 66, 77, 78, 79, 84, 85, 95 and 96

Identification of prior art discussed:

Clark et al. '622, Shinada et al. '940, Bullock et al. '824, Akahane et al. EP 0 997 297 A1

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The Applicant argues that the prior failed to teach a memory device, contacts lying in at least a first row and a second row, the first row being closer to align connecting the first and second upper corners than the second row; and an overhang member disposed between the first upper corner and the second upper corner. The Applicant overcome the specification objection of circuit board element(s) 30 and 31, and overcome 112 2nd paragraph rejection. Also, the Examiner suggest concise claiming. An updated search will be conducted in order to await advance communication.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.